1		DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION		
3		1.	
4	P.M., a minor, by and through Her parent, Terpsehore Maras	gn s, Case No. 1:21-cv-1711	
5	Plaintiff,	Contombon 0 2021	
6	vs.	September 9, 2021 11:16 a.m.	
7	MANDELD CIMN CCHOOL DICHDIC	NTI.	
8	MAYFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRIC BOARD OF EDUCATION, ET AL.,	ZT	
9	Defendants.		
10			
11		ESTRAINING ORDER PROCEEDINGS	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
13			
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	For the Plaintiff: Ms	s. Terpsehore Maras, Pro Se	
16			
17		nomas Feher, Esq. cephanie Chmiel, Esq.	
18		sepirative entities, and	
19			
20	Official Court Reporter: Su	ısan Trischan,RMR,CRR,FCRR,CRC	
21	7-	7-189 U.S. Court House 801 West Superior Avenue	
22	CI	leveland, Ohio 44113 216) 357-7087	
23	Proceedings recorded by mech	•	
24	Transcript produced by compu		
25			

1	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 2021, 11:16 A.M.
2	THE COURT: This is Judge Oliver.
3	How is everybody?
4	MR. FEHER: Good morning, Your Honor.
11:16:35 5	Tom Feher.
6	Very good, thank you.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Just one moment.
9	(Pause).
11:16:51 10	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, good morning.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	MS. MARAS: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	I was just made aware that there was
14	filings, and I don't have access to the electronic filing
11:17:01 15	system because the Court requires me to file for an
16	application.
17	So before we start I just would like to ask
18	for two things.
19	One, if you can speak clearly and pause
11:17:11 20	between talks because I'm using an amplifying device that
21	delays the speech because I'm hard of hearing. So I
22	don't want to sound rude and come up speaking over
23	someone and, therefore, I apologize in advance if I speak
24	loud.
11:17:24 25	And then the other one is I believe since

1	the Court requires me as a citizen to have access to my
2	Court as a pro se litigant only on paper and I'm unable
3	to use the electronic filing system to access, I would
4	therefore respectfully request, Your Honor, if you could
11:17:40 5	afford me the same rights that defendants' counsel has to
6	file and access documents in this case with the same
7	timely privilege which will aid me in providing the
8	evidence and documents that I will be citing during the
9	hearing because apparently another attorney made their
11:17:54 10	appearance and they filed something, and I have no access
11	to that.
12	And no one e-mailed it to me, and my
13	information is on the documents that I filed.
14	THE COURT: All right. I will get back to
11:18:06 15	you, but let me let me start first, and I'll address
16	everything that you wish you wish me to address.
17	MS. MARAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Let me just yeah.
19	This is on the record, and this is the case
11:18:22 20	of Terpsehore how do you pronounce your first name?
21	MS. MARAS: Terpsehore.
22	THE COURT: Terpsehore Maras versus
23	Mayfield City School District Board of Education, and the
24	case number is 1:21-cv-1711.
11:18:49 25	And this case involves all the defendants

1 2 3 4 September 2nd, 2021. 11:19:12 5 6 7 that right away. 8 11:19:26 10 11 12 13 14 11:19:50 15 16 try to get people on the line. 17 18 19 11:20:14 20 2.1 22 23 2.4

11:20:33 25

of -- other defendants other than the Mayfield City School District Board of Education, and there are a number of them so I won't go through all those. This complaint was filed pro se on At that time Ms. Maras called the office and she wanted to know why the Court wasn't proceeding on I indicated that there were requirements under the Rule that she indicate what attempts she made to contact the other side, and that I'd prefer to have someone on the other side, if we could get them in a reasonable time frame. And I indicated that that could be the superintendent or other people in the school system or it could be counsel, but it was my practice to And she had not really made any case that I should proceed ex parte as, you know, but there are circumstances where, with TROs, the Court would proceed without hearing from the other side, but I don't think those circumstances existed in this particular case. So that's where we started. And she assured me that she would work to try to get papers out to the school system, and because I assured her that the

fact that she had sat in a meeting before the School

11:22:28 25

Board and she indicated that she was going to sue wasn't sufficient in terms of the notice that would be required here under Rule 65 if -- once she had sued.

So once she understood that, she moved ahead to make sure, as I understand it, that those papers were delivered by someone to the superintendent or assistant superintendent or others at the School Board.

And thereafter, the counsel for the school district made their -- their appearance, and they -- they have now filed this morning a memorandum in opposition to plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order and cross-motion to dismiss for lack of standing, and they have also attached to that an Exhibit A which is the affidavit of the superintendent Dr. Michael J. Barnes.

So all of that information, including plaintiff's now initial submission to the Court, the complaint and other materials, is before the Court.

It is true that with a temporary restraining order, that it's anticipated under the Rules and case law that those cases be given quick attention and turnaround because of the nature of the matters which are asserted or allegedly asserted.

And so I'm doing that on the quickest time that I could, assuring that I had the issues properly explained before me.

And so that's where we are. 1 2 So --3 MS. MARAS: Your Honor, may I object, 4 please? May I object, Your Honor? Because the Court 11:22:38 5 should not consider that document because I haven't even 6 seen it yet, and I didn't even know. 7 THE COURT: Would you please stop talking and let me finish? I'm going to give you an opportunity 8 to speak. Now, I've spoken to you on the telephone a 11:22:47 10 11 number of times and I've tried to be patient and to tell 12 you the procedure. I'll allow you to do whatever you 13 want, but I'm not done saying how we're going to proceed. 14 You've got to have some patience. You'll 11:23:02 15 have an opportunity to speak. 16 Those matters are before me. They are on 17 the docket. They are there, and that's what I'm saying, 18 factually they are there. 19 Now, we can move from there. 11:23:13 20 Let me have the parties introduce 2.1 themselves, and then we'll move through the process. 22 So you're representing yourself 23 or -- and/or your minor child, at least that's what you 2.4 intend to do here. 11:23:25 25 Is that right?

1	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Just state your name for
3	the record.
4	MS. MARAS: My name is Terpsehore Maras.
11:23:35 5	THE COURT: All right. And then let me
6	have counsel for the defendants introduce themselves for
7	the record.
8	MR. FEHER: Your Honor, this is Tom Feher,
9	and I'm on with my partner Stephanie Chmiel from Thompson
11:23:50 10	Hine on behalf of all the defendants.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	You weren't able you didn't have time or
13	you weren't able to Xerox a copy not Xerox, but fax a
14	copy of your memo to the plaintiffs?
11:24:02 15	MR. FEHER: Your Honor, we did not have any
16	contact information for the plaintiff.
17	The pleadings that she filed did not
18	include an e-mail, and had a phone number that was
19	stricken out, so we filed it on the system this morning.
11:24:15 20	We are happy to, if we have the e-mail for
21	her, send them over immediately.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, may I?
24	My information and contact information were
11:24:30 25	on the cover sheet that was filed, the civil cover sheet

that was filed. It had my address, my mailing address, 1 2 my phone number and e-mail. 3 THE COURT: Okay. I don't see that on the 4 docket sheet, but why don't you give them -- do you have 11:24:47 5 a fax number or --MS. MARAS: I do not have a fax number. 6 7 My e-mail is on the documentation that they were provided. The school also has all my communication 8 and all my information as provided as well. 11:25:04 10 I don't believe any attempt was made to 11 provide to me those documents, Your Honor. And I 12 actually penned in my phone number and wrote it in there 13 that the Court had requested me when I filed my 14 documents. 11:25:19 15 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's just 16 move from where we are now to see whether we 17 can't -- what is your phone number, first of all? 18 MS. MARAS: My telephone number is 19 and I would request the Court not to 11:25:36 20 consider the documents that they filed under Rule 5 2.1 because it requires the service of all Court filings. 22 THE COURT: Would you please stop? Just 23 keep your mouth closed for a minute. I'm going to allow 2.4 you to talk and I'm going to allow both sides. 11:25:50 25 I'm going to be fair to you, but you have

1	to learn that you can't just blurt out. I'm going to
2	give you an opportunity to speak.
3	What did you say your number is?
4	, is that what you said, ??
11:26:02 5	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT:
7	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And put on the record
9	your e-mail.
11:26:10 10	I understand you say you gave it or you put
11	it on another document, but just let's have it now again.
12	MS. MARAS: The e-mail on the docket that I
13	put is MayfieldParentsUnion@Gmail.Com.
14	THE COURT: That's your e-mail?
11:26:29 15	MS. MARAS: Yes. This is the e-mail that
16	I'm using for correspondence in respect to this case.
17	THE COURT: Well
18	MS. MARAS: I'm not comfortable with a
19	public record.
11:26:42 20	THE COURT: That doesn't go to you?
21	MS. MARAS: It goes to me, yes. That is my
22	e-mail account.
23	THE COURT: MayfieldParentsUnion, go ahead,
24	keep going.
11:26:54 25	MS. MARAS: @Gmail.com.

1	THE COURT: MayfieldParentsUnion@Gmail.Com.
2	Is there a Mayfield parents union, or is
3	that yours?
4	MS. MARAS: It's mine. It's my personal
11:27:11 5	e-mail account.
6	THE COURT: But you but you've taken
7	liberties there because you're saying it's a parents
8	union.
9	Is there such a thing?
11:27:20 10	MS. MARAS: Oh, no. No. It's just a
11	parody on it because it's for my Court filings.
12	This is the e-mail address that I'm
13	comfortable making public on public records because these
14	are all public records for correspondence regarding this
11:27:36 15	case.
16	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure whether
17	someone for the next conference joined now.
18	I had one set up and I'd ask my courtroom
19	deputy to try to reach the people who are on the 11:30
11:27:55 20	because I've been running behind this morning.
21	If you're not on this criminal not
22	criminal case.
23	If you're not on this case I have in front
24	of me, Maras versus Mayfield City School District, I'd
11:28:10 25	ask that you leave right now and we'll work it out and

1	have my courtroom deputy give you a call.
2	Somebody joined the conference just now.
3	Who joined? Someone just joined the conference. Who
4	joined?
11:28:32 5	(Pause).
6	THE COURT: All right. So that's that's
7	your e-mail, you say, MayfieldParentsUnion@Gmail.Com.
8	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And if counsel were to e-mail
11:28:50 10	you right now with a copy of the document, you could
11	receive it.
12	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, I will be able to
13	receive it in a timely fashion I'll have to log in
14	but I won't be able to have the time to review it while
11:29:04 15	we're on the call.
16	THE COURT: I didn't ask you that.
17	If you would answer the question I
18	MS. MARAS: Yes, I can access the e-mail,
19	yes, Your Honor.
11:29:12 20	THE COURT: That if they, if they put
21	together a PDF or whatever that contains the documents,
22	you can receive those right now, right where you are.
23	MS. MARAS: I believe so, if it's sent to
24	the correct address, yes.
11:29:30 25	MR. FEHER: Your Honor, I've just e-mailed

1	the documents to the address we were given.
2	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not saying you have
3	to read those documents now, but I want you to verify
4	that you received those right now.
11:29:47 5	MS. MARAS: One moment.
6	Your Honor, one moment while I log in.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	(Pause).
9	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Maras.
11:31:03 10	I've received an e-mail and there are two
11	documents that are downloading, but I demand to have time
12	to review them.
13	THE COURT: How much do you want? Middle
14	of next week?
11:31:27 15	MS. MARAS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Now, you filed a motion for
17	temporary restraining order.
18	Do you understand that?
19	MS. MARAS: I do understand that, sir, but
11:31:36 20	I feel like I'm being ambushed, Your Honor, because I
21	didn't have access to these documents. They're claiming
22	my information wasn't on there, and they have my
23	communications.
24	I feel like this is done purposely because
11:31:46 25	they know that as a pro se litigant I don't have access

1 to the electronic filing system. The Court that is supposed to be for the 2 3 people don't allow access to it, to have access to these 4 things. It's really not just. THE COURT: Well, I'm giving you access 11:31:59 5 6 now. 7 Let me say this. When we do a TRO, we can use informal means to get information out. That's what I 8 was asking you to --11:32:12 10 MS. MARAS: Okay. 11 THE COURT: That's what I was asking you to do when I told you to get some information to the school 12 13 district. 14 Because everything is done on an expedited 11:32:21 15 basis, it means that I can hear from the parties even if 16 I don't have any submissions from them at all, and I can 17 hear from them even if they have not been formally served 18 as long as they have notice and I can get them on the 19 phone, because we're trying to make sure that people's 11:32:38 20 interests are represented. 2.1 So this, this stage here, the motion for 22 temporary restraining order, is one where information can 23 be formal, informal, it can be by paper, it can be 2.4 through speaking, it can be whatever because the process

is geared toward moving fast.

11:32:57 25

It's not unusual for a party, if they have 1 2 a chance, to file something in response. It is true that 3 you are not a lawyer and you're not on the ECF and there 4 are certain problems -- Electronic Filing System -- that 11:33:17 5 there are certain problems that are encountered, that we 6 encounter when that's the case. 7 You didn't get counsel, you weren't apparently able to get counsel, and so I respect the fact 8 that you're attempting to proceed on your own. 9 They have raised some very serious issues 11:33:33 10 11 here regarding your motion -- you didn't call it a 12 motion -- but your motion for temporary restraining 13 order. 14 And so you probably do want to look at that and decide if you can respond or how you can respond 11:33:49 15 16 because they have put -- their main argument is that you 17 can't even bring this case and that you don't have 18 standing to do it; that you have no -- then they go on to 19 put on the record things that counter all the arguments 11:34:13 20 you've made. 2.1 So you probably do want -- you do probably 22 want to read this and then go from there. 23 MS. MARAS: Your Honor. 2.4 THE COURT: Oh, and I -- don't, don't 11:34:26 25 interrupt me.

	1	MS. MARAS: I was just going to say if you
	2	can speak a little bit louder because I can't hear you.
	3	I'm sorry.
	4	THE COURT: Okay. I'm all right with that
11:34:33	5	request then. I apologize. I didn't mean to be so
	6	sharp, but I just didn't want you continuing to interrupt
	7	me.
	8	Are you prepared, will you be prepared at
	9	3:00 o'clock today to or 3:30 today to, after you've
11:35:00	10	read the matter, to go forward?
-	11	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
-	12	I feel confident that any argument that
-	13	they may have brought forward is I do feel confident
-	14	in not only the factual evidence but the violations of
11:35:16	15	the Constitution because the bottom line, there's
-	16	irreparable harm when we have our civil liberties
-	17	violated.
-	18	And our Ohio State Constitution, there are
-	19	two Articles in it I will be citing that will, in
11:35:30 2	20	essence, render moot any argument that they have
7	21	presented.
,	22	THE COURT: Well, why don't you wait for
,	23	that?
,	24	Okay. So read the papers.
11:35:41 2	25	Mr. Feher.

1	MR. FEHER: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Chmiel, can you be
3	available at 3:30?
4	MR. FEHER: Yes, Your Honor.
11:35:48 5	THE COURT: I think it's better we go ahead
6	and hear the matter today, if we can, and so we'll give
7	her an opportunity, which I think is fair, to read your
8	papers.
9	I'm not going I don't anticipate it will
11:36:02 10	take us really very long to hear the parties out since
11	Ms. Maras has filed her papers and you filed your papers.
12	I have really a lot of information in front of me.
13	And so it's just an opportunity this
14	afternoon maybe taking up to one-half hour together for
11:36:23 15	the parties to highlight their positions and so forth so
16	that I can be in position to make a ruling.
17	Ms. Maras, does that sound right to you?
18	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	Is it possible that I will be able to file
11:36:39 20	a response?
21	It may be not posed in a manner because I
22	don't have a massive law firm to put it together, but I
23	would like to file a response and a motion to strike and
24	dismiss anything that they have. If I can have that
11:36:53 25	Court access to the Pacer account that I just set up, I

would be able to file that, too. 1 I'm more than happy, so that way I can 2 3 submit the evidence that I wanted to cite today. 4 THE COURT: Well, why don't you just be prepared to make your arguments and tell us about 11:37:04 5 6 whatever it is that you want to say? 7 MS. MARAS: The evidence I have? THE COURT: No, I -- you know, I don't know 8 how you -- I don't know how you're going to -- we keep 11:37:20 10 going back and forth. 11 You've got your material on the record. 12 MS. MARAS: I have more material. 13 THE COURT: You want me to put this off and 14 not make this a temporary restraining order? That's what 11:37:29 15 you're asking. 16 MS. MARAS: Well, Your Honor, Your Honor, 17 I'd like the temporary restraining order, but I'd like to file a motion to dismiss their motion to dismiss. 18 19 I want the TRO. That's what we need to 11:37:38 20 decide. 2.1 But I do have further evidence that I 22 wanted to introduce during this call, and if you'd like 23 me to I can send that electronically, too. That way we 2.4 can be referencing the CDC documentation, the OSHA 11:37:54 25 documentation and other organizations' documentation that

	1	will render their statements made which I can only
	2	assume because I haven't read it yet render them moot
	3	under Ohio State laws and Constitution.
	4	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you're using some
11:38:09	5	language that's not appropriate legal language, but I
	6	think I know what you mean, that you want to file a
	7	motion to dismiss their motion to dismiss.
	8	There's no such thing in the law.
	9	MS. MARAS: Yes. Sorry.
11:38:22 1	L O	THE COURT: What did you say?
1	1	MS. MARAS: I'm so sorry. I'm just trying
1	L2	to be proper.
1	L3	THE COURT: Yeah, I understand.
1	L 4	But we're not going to take a very long
11:38:29 1	L5	time this afternoon because I've got the papers.
1	L 6	If you want this to be a temporary
1	L7	restraining order, we have to keep it that way. We can't
1	L 8	just keep going back and forth, back and forth, back and
1	L 9	forth.
11:38:41 20		Do you think you can how quickly can you
2	21	file whatever you want to file and get a copy over to
2	22	MS. MARAS: Well, if I have access to be
2	23	able to communicate to yourself, Your Honor, and the
2	24	parties, I would be able to send that out from by 3:00
11:38:55 2	25	o'clock.

	1	It won't take it won't take very long
	2	for me to respond to, I guess.
	3	It depends I mean, they have a whole law
	4	firm and I'm one mere citizen so that I can respond to
11:39:07	5	each and every claim that they are making.
	6	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you can do that
	7	verbally.
	8	MS. MARAS: Okay.
	9	THE COURT: Because I'm not going to take a
11:39:16	LO	long time this afternoon, I'm just going to tell you,
1	L1	because you both filed papers.
1	L2	You want a temporary restraining order.
1	L3	That means that we move quickly. It means that we're not
1	L 4	going to go through it like it's a full case.
11:39:28 1	L5	MS. MARAS: Yes, sir.
1	L 6	THE COURT: You either want that or you
1	L7	don't.
1	L 8	And so and you're going to have to calm
1	L 9	down. And if you can get if you can I'm not giving
11:39:42 20		you I'm not getting involved in Pacer.
2	21	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, I actually
2	22	feel I feel confident that we can go forward right now
2	23	without even looking at it, and they can feel free to go
2	24	ahead because, if it's okay with the Court, I can submit
11:39:56 2	25	the evidence to, you know, counsel, whatever arguments

	1	they have put forward to dismiss my motion for TRO, I
	2	feel confident in that because that will not change.
	3	THE COURT: Well, we're going forward at
	4	3:30.
11:40:14	5	MS. MARAS: Thank you. Thank you.
	6	THE COURT: And if you want to file
	7	something, you file whatever you want.
	8	Make sure the other side gets it.
	9	MS. MARAS: Through their e-mail, I'm
11:40:24 1	_0	assuming, Your Honor? Through their e-mail that I will
1	_1	send it to them.
1	_2	And will I send it to yourself as well so
1	_3	that you can be able to view it?
1	4	THE COURT: You can send it, you can send
11:40:34 1	.5	it to my courtroom deputy.
1	. 6	MS. MARAS: Is that the e-mail that sent me
1	_7	the phone log-in details, Your Honor?
1	. 8	THE COURT: Yes.
1	_9	MR. FEHER: I believe that's correct.
11:40:47 2	20	THE COURT: All right. Then do that.
2	21	MS. MARAS: Okay. Sharon Romito, correct,
2	22	Your Honor?
2	23	THE COURT: Right. Right.
2	24	MS. MARAS: All right.
11:40:58 2	25	THE COURT: You don't have to get it on the

1	docket, but just send her a copy, send a copy to them.
2	We're going forward at 3:30.
3	MR. FEHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
11:41:11 5	THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from you
6	all.
7	(Proceedings recessed at 11:41 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9, 2021, 3:37 P.M.
2	THE COURT: There was one person that
3	joined the conference that didn't identify herself.
4	Who is that?
15:37:44 5	Someone joined the conference that didn't
6	identify themselves, they are a person that joined the
7	conference. All the other persons had names.
8	MR. FEHER: Your Honor, I this is Tom
9	Feher. I don't know if you heard my name, but I did say
15:37:58 10	it.
11	THE COURT: I did.
12	MR. FEHER: Okay.
13	MS. MARAS: I didn't. I didn't hear your
14	name, Tom.
15:38:04 15	That's what I thought he was referring to,
16	Tom. I heard Stephanie and my child's name and the court
17	reporter. I didn't hear Tom either.
18	THE COURT: Yeah, I heard I heard him.
19	It's okay. But this is not, you know,
15:38:24 20	there's nothing secret about this, but this is a
21	conference that was between the lawyers and the parties
22	in the case.
23	There was not anybody else that was
24	authorized to be on the line, so I want you to bear I
15:38:38 25	want anybody who is on the line to bear that in mind

1	because if you're on the call and you're not invited,
2	I'll have to consider that.
3	So in any event, let's proceed.
4	THE OPERATOR: A participant has left the
15:39:02 5	conference.
6	MS. MARAS: I'm sorry. Who left? I'm
7	still here.
8	MR. FEHER: Tom is still here.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
15:39:08 10	MS. CHMIEL: Stephanie is still here.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So somebody left, right?
12	I thought somebody was here and didn't respond, so I just
13	wanted to make sure.
14	We don't have anything to hide here. This
15:39:27 15	case is a matter of public record. Whatever I decide
16	will be a matter of public record.
17	This is being taken down by the court
18	reporter and so forth, and if someone wanted to buy the
19	transcript, they can buy that. So that's not the issue.
15:39:47 20	But we don't need secret people lurking on
21	the telephone when we're trying to have a conversation.
22	That's the only reason I asked the question.
23	All right. Again we're back to the case of
24	P.M., plaintiff, and plaintiff Ms. Terpsehore Maras. I
15:40:14 25	may mispronounce that, but it's T-E-R-P-S-E-H-O-R-E, and

1 last name Maras, M-A-R-A-S, versus the Mayfield City School District Board of Education. 2 3 We had started this conference on the 4 motion for TRO this morning. I adjourned the conference so that 15:40:34 5 6 Ms. Maras would have the opportunity to read the memorandum in opposition filed by the defendant, also which included a cross-motion to dismiss for lack of 8 standing. And I indicated to her that they have attached an affidavit to that. 15:40:56 10 11 It seemed only fair that she should have an 12 opportunity to review what the lawyers had filed on 13 behalf of the defendant before we proceeded, and so I 14 agreed to her request that I not consider that material 15:41:17 15 until she had a chance to respond -- not respond, but to 16 view it. 17 Ms. Maras also indicated the fact that she 18 might desire to file something further in the case. 19 I authorized her to do so if she wanted, 15:41:38 20 and that she could direct it by e-mail to counsel for 2.1 defendants because she was not able to file 22 electronically, and that she could also, for any 23 additional things she would want to file, by sending an 2.4 e-mail copy to my courtroom deputy.

I inquired of my courtroom deputy before we

15:41:59 25

1	came back out this afternoon as to whether she had
2	received anything further from Ms. Maras, and she
3	indicated that she had not.
4	So I would assume that there are no
15:42:15 5	additional documents before the Court to consider along
6	with the arguments of counsel and the parties this
7	afternoon.
8	Is that correct, Ms. Maras?
9	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, that's incorrect.
15:42:28 10	Actually I sent off I'm one person and
11	not a lawyer. I don't have the money to hire a lawyer.
12	And I sent it off trying to make it easily readable, so
13	you can read it as easily as possible.
14	It's in your inbox. I've already received
15:42:45 15	a reader receipt that it was delivered, because I also
16	sent it to myself in my other e-mail, and that was
17	received as well.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What time did you send
19	that?
15:42:55 20	MS. MARAS: I will tell you. I think it
21	was at 3:28.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MS. MARAS: It was before you joined the
24	call.
15:43:02 25	THE COURT: Yeah, well, perhaps that was

1	incorrect.
2	MS. MARAS: Okay.
3	THE COURT: That was this very moment, you
4	know.
15:43:14 5	So you sent a copy of that to opposing
6	counsel?
7	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
8	MR. FEHER: Your Honor, we have I have
9	on my screen two e-mails from MayfieldParentsUnion, one
15:43:29 10	at 3:34 and one at 3:41.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask my law clerk
12	to see if the courtroom deputy has whatever she has
13	filed.
14	We can proceed though. Let me just see if
15:43:47 15	she has that.
16	(Pause).
17	THE COURT: Okay. I just inquired of my
18	courtroom deputy who indicates that she did receive an
19	e-mail just now, and what she received was something
15:45:30 20	entitled, "Mercola, M-E-R-C-O-L-A, Take Control of Your
21	Health," and then it was an article, I guess, entitled,
22	"Masks Are a Ticking Time Bomb."
23	Is that what you sent?
24	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, there's two
15:45:49 25	e-mails.

1	That was an attachment that didn't attach
2	to the original e-mail, and it was sent subsequently.
3	There was another e-mail that was sent, and
4	I believe the title was "Maras versus MCSD, Case Number
15:46:05 5	1:21-1711," where there's two attachments, the reply
6	brief and Exhibit 2.
7	THE COURT: Okay. You said you sent
8	something to me at 3:28.
9	Opposing counsel said what they received
15:46:19 10	was a bit later than that, and they have two things they
11	received.
12	Would that be correct, Ms. Maras?
13	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor. Correct.
14	They go through different servers, so I can
15:46:33 15	send it now and your server might get it a minute or two
16	later.
17	So your court reporter your Court should
18	have two e-mails. There's an e-mail that was sent right
19	before the Mercola. The Mercola was sent after the
15:46:47 20	original e-mail.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I'll just
22	MS. MARAS: Yes.
23	THE COURT: I'll just look to get that.
24	We can go ahead and proceed.
15:46:53 25	MS. MARAS: You're not going to see it?

Okay. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. So you -- I'll -- okay. 3 So you filed a motion -- I don't know if you would title 4 it a motion -- but in essence a motion for temporary 15:47:07 5 restraining order, and it has to do with a requirement 6 that students wear masks in the Mayfield City School 7 District. And you listed your child as the plaintiff 8 and you also listed yourself. And you purported to sue 9 on behalf of your child because your child's a minor. So 15:47:32 10 11 that's -- that's the background of it. 12 And so I've reviewed your papers, I've 13 reviewed those of the defendant. 14 What I thought I would do is give each side 15:47:48 15 about five minutes or so to highlight the most important 16 points of your argument. 17 MS. MARAS: I --18 THE COURT: And -- let me finish. 19 You're going first. 15:48:07 20 MS. MARAS: Sorry, there was a delay, I 21 apologize. 22 It's my device. Sorry. 23 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to go first 2.4 because it's your motion, and I'm going to be asking some 15:48:17 25 questions, too.

15:49:52 25

So but you sued for a temporary restraining order, and, as you know, there are certain requirements you have to meet to get that relief because it's only temporary. And it's because — and the reason why we grant temporary relief is because if one were to wait until the overall lawsuit to be final, then the person who is denied the relief, if they had irreparable harm, that would be a tough circumstance.

And so the idea is to give people a chance to come forward, not on a full record, but on less than a full record to convince the Court that the Court should step in early, even before deciding the case on the merits, and to rule in their favor and to hold that ruling and let them hold that — let that ruling apply until the Court can decide the whole case.

And clearly we're not prepared to decide the whole case because there's nothing — the pleadings are not complete. No discovery has been done, and no motions have been filed other than regarding at this preliminary stage.

So we've got to meet that high burden, plaintiff has to meet a very high burden in order to get me to rule right now on this record.

With that in mind, Ms. Maras, can you just highlight -- I now have got your papers -- the

constitutional provisions or theories that you're relying 1 upon? And then we'll get to facts. 2 3 Which claims are you bringing? On 4 what -- what aspect of the Constitution? MS. MARAS: Your Honor, I just wanted to 15:50:10 5 6 say the document that I sent you has a few motions in 7 there as my reply brief. The first motion is to request for the 8 temporary restraining order and the motion to dismiss, 15:50:23 10 that there's two separate motions that the Court should 11 bifurcate because the pending motion for the temporary is 12 the only motion properly before the Court. 13 I'm entitled to have time under Rule 7.1 to 14 actually respond to the motion to dismiss. Usually the 15:50:41 15 opposing party has 30 days, right? But obviously the 16 local rule, if the Court was to find that it was not 17 dispositive, then I should still have 14 days to --18 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, could you 19 repeat that? 15:51:03 20 MR. FEHER: Dispositive. 2.1 MS. MARAS: I'm sorry, I'm hard of hearing 22 so sometimes I articulate things not to the standard, so 23 I apologize for that. 2.4 So normally I would be allowed by law at 15:51:14 25 least 14 days to respond, so that was my first motion in

1 the document that I sent that you don't have in front of 2 you, Your Honor. 3 And then the second motion is that I 4 requested a ruling on the oral motion for permission to 15:51:27 5 access the Court's electronic filing system, Pacer, so 6 that I could be -- I could have the same, you know, 7 access and privileges that the attorneys have, which I haven't been afforded. 8 So I wanted to address those two before I 15:51:43 10 get into the TRO status of the case, of course. 11 THE COURT: All right. I want you to 12 address what I want you to address, and that's what you 13 have to understand. 14 I'm not going to be sitting here all 15:51:55 15 afternoon going off on side tangents. 16 You filed a motion for a temporary 17 restraining order. Therefore, you've asked me to put 18 down all the work that I have in other cases and give 19 attention to yours. 15:52:10 20 Thank you. MS. MARAS: 2.1 THE COURT: I'm willing to give the case 22 the attention it deserves, but I can't keep having you 23 putting off what I'm trying to resolve. 2.4 I want to talk about the temporary 15:52:22 25 restraining order.

I understand, I don't have any problem with 1 2 you're saying that you'd like time to respond to the 3 motion to dismiss or any of those other kinds of things 4 because you don't want your case dismissed right now. I'll address those before I conclude, and I 15:52:35 5 6 don't have a problem with you raising those issues. I just wish you would address things, though, in the order which I'm trying to proceed. 8 And then if there are additional things you 15:52:51 10 want to raise, you may do that. 11 That's all I'm trying to do. 12 So if you would just stick to the motion 13 for temporary restraining order and assume that, you 14 know, if you're concerned about me dismissing your case 15:53:09 15 today without your having a chance to respond, which I 16 thought you were doing, that's -- that's fine. And I 17 understand that concern. 18 Right now put that aside. Just for the 19 sake of argument, assume I'm not going to dismiss your 15:53:23 20 case today on the merits, but that I'm going to rule on 2.1 your motion for temporary restraining order. 22 So just limit yourself to that. 23 MS. MARAS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 2.4 And I apologize. I don't do this for a 15:53:39 25 living so I don't know the rules, so I really apologize

for that, and thank you for that clarification. 1 2 So your question to me is why should I have 3 a TRO? Well, it's that I have established that it's 4 likely to succeed which I'm pretty positive on because I 15:53:57 5 have attached evidence for that, and that I would suffer 6 irreparable harm. Now, irreparable harm --7 THE COURT: Just stop for a moment. 8 You're going to get to those, but you're 9 15:54:07 10 going to answer the question that I --11 MS. MARAS: The constitutional, that's what 12 I'm getting at. The irreparable harm is the 13 constitutional rights right here --14 THE COURT: Okay. MS. MARAS: -- because I believe the TRO is 15:54:17 15 16 justified under the U.S. and Ohio Constitution. 17 All laws which are repugnant to the 18 Constitution are null and void, and that's established in 19 the case Marbury. All laws which are repugnant to the 15:54:44 20 Constitution are null and void. 2.1 So on that basis, on that basis, the Ohio 22 Constitution, Article I, Section 21, is where the 23 Mayfield City School District has compelled both 2.4 plaintiffs to participate in a health care system 15:55:04 25 involuntarily.

1 Article 1, Section 21, Section -- Part A 2 says, "No federal, state or local law or rule shall 3 compel, directly or indirectly, any person, employer or 4 health care provider to participate in a health care 15:55:22 5 system." Under that same Article I, Section 21, it 6 7 defines "Health care system" in very precise manner. And specifically here, we're focusing on the fact that a 8 health care system means that they are providing -- that 15:55:39 10 they are obtaining health care data or health care 11 information from the participant. 12 The defendants, in essence, are collecting 13 and sharing health care data of the plaintiffs in respect 14 to mask wearing, contact tracing, and vaccination status 15:55:55 15 without permission, and compelling all students to 16 participate by compulsion, which is a direct violation of 17 the State of Ohio Constitution. 18 And that is something --19 THE COURT: Let me ask -- wait. 15:56:09 20 Let me ask you a question, because unless 2.1 you have a federal constitutional violation, as I 22 understand it, you can't even raise an Ohio one. 23 MS. MARAS: Correct. Correct. 2.4 THE COURT: So tell me your federal --15:56:21 25 MS. MARAS: My federal one. The

1 harm -- yes. 2 The harm to the plaintiff is irreparable 3 because the actual or threatened violation is that of a 4 core constitutional right, and it's presumed irreparable. And that's seen by the Federal Courts from 15:56:32 5 6 Siegel versus LePore, and Deerfield Beach; it was 7 Deerfield Medical Center versus City of Deerfield Beach. The purpose of the whole temporary 8 9 restraining order is to, indeed, safeguard that, and 15:56:49 10 that's where we are going to, where they are violating 11 her civil liberty to just have a healthy and safe 12 environment, which she's entitled to. 13 We also --14 THE COURT: Let me --15:57:02 15 MS. MARAS: Go ahead. Sorry. 16 THE COURT: Let me stop you again. 17 So you claim that there's a violation of 18 both the Federal Constitution and the State Constitution, 19 and you might, you know, you might have a supplemental 15:57:17 20 claim under Ohio law if you had a federal claim. 2.1 you had no federal claim, because Mayfield, which is 22 Ohio, and you are from Ohio, I don't think you'd have a 23 state claim.

So let's go -- and I guess the other

counsel can say whether they agree with me or not later

2.4

15:57:33 25

1	on, but what you know, the Constitution has, as you
2	know, several provisions and they're very scurried under
3	those provisions in the Constitution and the case law
4	relative to those provisions.
15:57:55 5	What what is your federal what are
6	your federal constitutional claims? What portions of the
7	Constitution or what federal statutes are you relying
8	upon to make your argument?
9	I know you say it's irreparable and it's
15:58:12 10	harmful, but I need to know that first.
11	What constitutional provisions are you
12	relying upon?
13	Ms. Maras?
14	MS. MARAS: Oh, I'm so sorry. That was my
15:58:32 15	device. Your Honor, I apologize. As I said, I'm hard of
16	hearing and my device is echoing.
17	So deprivation of liberty without due
18	process, the Fourteenth Amendment.
19	THE COURT: Okay. What process do you
15:58:50 20	think she was due?
21	MS. MARAS: That they actually rely on the
22	actual science of this, because I have they are
23	forcing my child, first of all, they're compelling her to
24	participate in decreasing and causing her physical and
15:59:12 25	psychological harm on merits that have not been

1 discussed. There have been no discussions with me as 2 3 the parent or the child, and that is a problem, because I 4 feel that it's almost involuntary services, in essence, where you're supposed to just do as they say and do not 15:59:23 5 question it, when we all know that science is in flux. 6 7 They used to give heroin to babies until science searched and said, "Oh, that's not good." 8 They had an investment. And until they 9 15:59:41 10 could prove that there was irreparable harm people were 11 still using --12 (Court Reporter interrupts) 13 MS. MARAS: Could you clarify your 14 question? 15:59:55 15 I'm not understanding. 16 THE COURT: The court reporter, she's 17 trying to take down what you're saying, and she's having 18 some difficulty getting it. 19 MS. MARAS: Oh, I'm sorry. Is my 16:00:07 20 articulation bad? I really apologize. 2.1 Until it was realized that there was 22 irreparable harm to health, physical or mental, many 23 procedures have been in place. 2.4 And they see now in 2021 that there are 16:00:23 25 studies that are indicating severe negative effects from

mask wearing. Breathing resistance, there are studies 1 coming out every day showing these things. 2 3 Some of them are causing cardiopulmonary 4 dysfunction, causing asthma. You know, without a mask 16:00:43 5 how much breathing is there, with the mask how much. 6 They're doing all these studies now because 7 there is an issue. And we see that there are other safer 8 methods that can be implemented. And there was no 9 16:00:55 10 discussion with any of the parents. It was just simply 11 arbitrary power and directive that was provided as a 12 blanket -- as just a blanket statement. 13 And even in my filing that I sent, I 14 pointed out to one portion where there was an affidavit 16:01:11 15 by Dr. Barnes claiming the pediatrics are saying, well, 16 it is not. Well, in that language, he's wrong there, 17 too, because this is the problem, none of these masks are considered an N95 mask. 18 19 And my son himself, who has actually 16:01:29 20 completed a degree in molecular and cellular biology and 2.1 has been fitted for an N95, you have to go through a 22 pulmonary function test in order to be fitted and wear 23 that. 2.4 And right now we have children with cloth

masks which have no efficacy. OSHA has stated it. The

16:01:42 25

2.4

16:02:59 25

CDC has stated it. And what I see is that there's narrative from the Board that are citing people that aren't even subject matter experts.

And I understand that industrial hygienists are a link because the IAHA, which is where industrial hygienists are certified, there's only about 10,000 of them in the whole United States, and if they actually read all the CDC things that they are referring to, they will note down in the page in a footnote it makes reference to surgical masks and N95 and respirators; not cloth masks.

And that is the problem, that we are putting children in harm's way because there are actual physical effects, and let's not even get into the fact of the psychological effects.

And I know that during the complaint where I will be able to bring experts, there are child psychiatrists that will tell you that this is causing irreparable harm to their development and how they fail because children, in many studies for years now, would have to look at the face to learn and to associate.

So this is completely unprecedented, and it feels as if they don't care. But I can say that I've noticed that there's a financial incentive to implement these, so they have a financial incentive.

1	And just yesterday a watchdog group had
2	received e-mails through a FOIA request indicating that
3	the American Federation of Teachers Union had a clearly
4	workable policy because they were demanding that the CDC
16:03:20 5	do something else because people were not getting
6	vaccinated.
7	So the policies are written by people that
8	are not subject matter experts.
9	THE COURT: Let me ask you, let me stop you
16:03:27 10	now.
11	So the Fourteenth Amendment due process
12	clause, that's one of your arguments.
13	MS. MARAS: Yes. Yes.
14	THE COURT: Do you have any other
16:03:36 15	constitutional what are they?
16	MS. MARAS: The deprivation of life,
17	liberty and property that I must have is important. It
18	requires notice and a hearing and discussion.
19	And I'm not suing the school district for
16:03:51 20	money. What I need is the best thing that I would like
21	is the temporary restraining order and that then to sit
22	down and have an evidentiary hearing where we can
23	actually have a discussion because I'm not allowed to
24	have a discussion.
16:04:04 25	THE COURT: Now, answer my question.

1	-	You went back over the due process clause,
2)	the liberty without due process.
3	}	I asked you did you have any other
4	l	constitutional arguments? Those are that's the same
16:04:21 5	5	one.
6	5	MS. MARAS: Yes.
7	7	In <i>Mitchell versus Cuomo</i> , 1984, there was
8	}	an alleged deprivation of a constitutional right. Most
9)	Courts hold that no further showing of irreparable injury
16:04:33 10)	is necessary.
11	-	THE COURT: What is the constitutional
12	2	right you're talking about?
13	3	That's what I'm asking.
14	ł	MS. MARAS: The Fourteenth Amendment which
16:04:41 15)	is the deprivation of liberty.
16	Ō	THE COURT: And due process, right?
17	7	MS. MARAS: Yes.
18	}	THE COURT: Without
19)	MS. MARAS: Yes. Yes. Yes.
16:04:47 20)	And I meet the requirement based on
21	-	Mitchell versus Cuomo because I only have to show that,
22	2	one, it violated and, therefore, most Courts hold that no
23	3	further showing of irreparable harm is necessary, in
24	ł	Mitchell versus Cuomo, 1984.
16:05:02 25	5	THE COURT: Your due process is the only

1	constitutional violation you're alleging, is that right?
2	MS. MARAS: Well, yes, for now. For now.
3	Life, liberty, due process, yes.
4	I mean <i>Robinson versus Attorney General</i>
16:05:18 5	also said denying a motion for stay of preliminary
6	injunction enjoining public health orders issued
7	(Court Reporter interrupts).
8	THE COURT: The court reporter is having a
9	hard time.
16:05:51 10	MS. MARAS: Yes, I'm sorry, there's an
11	echo. I really apologize.
12	So Robinson versus Attorney General, 2020,
13	there was denying a motion for stay of preliminary
14	injunction enjoining public health order issued in
16:06:05 15	response to COVID-19 pandemic because it invaded
16	constitutionally protected Fourteenth Amendment rights.
17	THE COURT: Okay. What case is that?
18	MS. MARAS: Robinson versus Attorney
19	General, 957 F. 3d 1171, and 1177, Eleventh Circuit.
16:06:29 20	I have another one if you'd like from 1996.
21	THE COURT: No, don't give me another one
22	right now. Let's make sure I got that one.
23	MS. MARAS: Yes.
24	THE COURT: You gave the citation.
16:06:42 25	MS. MARAS: Robinson versus Attorney

1	General, 957 F. 3d 1171, that's Eleventh Circuit,
2	Eleventh, sorry, 2020. Sorry.
3	THE COURT: This is the case that has to do
4	with masks?
16:07:04 5	MS. MARAS: It has denying a motion of stay
6	of preliminary injunction enjoining public health order
7	issued in response to COVID-19 pandemic because it
8	invaded constitutionally protected Fourteenth Amendment
9	rights.
16:07:18 10	I mean all the orders in that case were
11	THE COURT: Stop. Stop.
12	What were the facts in that case?
13	MS. MARAS: With the Attorney General?
14	Hold on, let me go find out. Yeah, let me
16:07:30 15	pull up my notes.
16	THE COURT: That's what you were doing.
17	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
18	I'm pulling it up.
19	THE COURT: Is that a mask-wearing case?
16:07:45 20	MS. MARAS: Yeah, so the Governor, yeah,
21	the Governor of Alabama declared the state in a public
22	health emergency due to outbreak and, yes, it was about
23	masks.
24	THE COURT: But the Governor of Alabama
16:07:54 25	didn't require the masks, did they, back in 1920 I

1	mean in 2020?
2	MS. MARAS: In 2020. This is a 2020 for
3	the COVID-19. He declared it, yes.
4	THE COURT: So he no, you make sure, you
16:08:06 5	make sure you're correct.
6	You're saying that the Governor of
7	Alabama now, this, this of course is Eleventh Circuit,
8	okay so you said the Governor of Alabama required
9	people to wear masks, and you're saying that the Circuit
16:08:25 10	Court held that it was a violation of
11	MS. MARAS: Well, I'm
12	THE COURT: of citizens' constitutional
13	rights to do that?
14	MS. MARAS: No, it wasn't just masks.
16:08:36 15	Your Honor, I didn't know we were citing
16	the law because I'm not a lawyer and I've only had two or
17	three hours to do this because I am a single parent and I
18	actually work, too.
19	So I could tell you that there was a TRO
16:08:48 20	that was filed and put together because of the
21	restrictions that were imposed on people based on a
22	mandate in May, so that clearly states that you can't
23	deny a motion for stay by enjoining a public health
24	order.
16:09:05 25	So that's basically how it is.

1 And masks, you know, were in that motion 2 that was put forward in a case in 2021 versus the 3 Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health. 4 actually a TRO was put forward for granting the TRO to 16:09:30 5 them for mask and vaccine mandates already. So that was 6 actually done, and this was done on just the 24th of 7 August. And so it was a motion was granted in 8 America's Frontline Doctors versus Xavier Becerra, 9 16:09:51 10 Secretary of Department of Health and Human Services, and 11 they were given the TRO based on that. 12 THE COURT: Let me stop you, and then I'm 13 going to -- two more minutes, and then I'm going to hear 14 from the other lawyers, the lawyers --16:10:03 15 MS. MARAS: Um-hmm. 16 THE COURT: -- on the other side. 17 Give me, if you have them, the citation of 18 two cases --19 MS. MARAS: Yes. 16:10:11 20 THE COURT: -- that are very similar to 2.1 yours where the School Board imposed masking requirements 22 and where the Court held -- granted a temporary 23 restraining order against the enforcement of the wearing 2.4 of the mask. 16:10:27 25 That's a very specific question.

1	MS. MARAS: Correct. Correct.
2	And that's very interesting you should ask
3	that because I actually found that with the documents
4	that you haven't seen yet.
16:10:37 5	In fact, in the State of Ohio, we actually
6	have a school that since 2020 has not had masks and they
7	actually implemented other protocols and it has been
8	successful.
9	In fact, over a year not mask wearing
16:10:54 10	and this is a school with 700 students they've only
11	had two cases of confirmed COVID and it was outside of
12	the school.
13	So what I'm trying to point out here, Your
14	Honor
16:11:03 15	THE COURT: Let
16	MS. MARAS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Let me stop you.
18	MS. MARAS: Um-hmm.
19	THE COURT: You're not answering my
16:11:08 20	questions, and I'm not sure that the facts that you're
21	citing in the cases are correct.
22	So I'm asking
23	MS. MARAS: They're not?
24	THE COURT: I'm asking you a very simple
16:11:17 25	question.

1	MS. MARAS: Uh-huh.
2	THE COURT: And the answer could be yes or
3	no in terms of whether there are cases very similar
4	MS. MARAS: Yes.
16:11:30 5	THE COURT: to yours where Courts have
6	granted temporary restraining orders against school
7	systems or other entities like that based on, you know,
8	the Fourteenth Amendment violation of rights.
9	MS. MARAS: Yeah. I just
16:11:48 10	THE COURT: Asking you for precedence.
11	MS. MARAS: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Because that's the way we
13	MS. MARAS: Yes. Yes.
14	And I do cite I just cited a TRO that
16:11:55 15	was given as a restraining order to the Secretary of
16	Health and it was issued on the 24th of August this year.
17	Also, a Judge in South Carolina Supreme
18	Court struck down Columbia's public school mask mandate
19	based on the same reasons.
16:12:12 20	So and I mean, in my filing I have a case
21	as well that struck it down cited. It was in my exhibit
22	where it has been struck down, too.
23	So there are multiple cases where they
24	argue that your constitutional rights should succeed any
16:12:31 25	arbitrary power that is being enforced.

1	So it's happening all across the nation,
2	all the parents are now filing to get these done, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: I haven't found those cases,
16:12:40 5	but let me just tell you this. You said something about
6	the Secretary of Health or someone granted a restraining
7	order.
8	That's not your case. That's not the kind
9	of case that we have here so
16:12:54 10	MS. MARAS: It's about masks, Your Honor.
11	The Wisconsin Supreme Court struck down the
12	state-wide mask mandates.
13	Kentucky, Boone County, struck down the
14	government mask mandate for public schools.
16:13:08 15	South Carolina Supreme Court struck down
16	the public school mask mandates in Columbia.
17	So yes, they have. There are many cases.
18	A simple search will find that they have
19	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to give you
16:13:19 20	two, two minutes more to say anything you want to say
21	about your case or in support of your case, give you
22	about two, two more minutes, and then I'm going to turn
23	to the other side and give them an opportunity to speak.
24	And then we'll conclude.
16:13:36 25	MS. MARAS: Excellent.

16:14:58 25

Well, Your Honor, reading -- reading their ambush of combined motions, I was a little bit frazzled that they tried to even take -- I was actually repulsed to see that they were telling me that I don't have standing, but I do have standing.

And I was actually contemplating on voluntarily withdrawing my TRO and requesting a scheduling hearing for a -- for an injunction based on that because I do have standing. And that has been proven only because there are cases that find that financial harm, and if it comes to me because of actions you've done against my child, the irreparable harm that is being caused once for violation of civil liberties, of any liberties, is irreparable harm according to the law of the land.

But if you want to nitpick and not -- and exclude all violations of both the federal and state

Constitutions and even go down to that level and argue this, the irreparable harm is that they don't know what is happening.

Science is constantly in flux. Science is in flux, and if you don't have the subject matter experts to actually cite the science and implement the procedures that will keep kids healthy and happy, that is a concern.

Now, in Ohio, yes, 2012, the case of Goss,

16:16:33 25

we have Goss versus Lopez, the Supreme Court said that there should be due process, and that I and my child is entitled — this is the only state where that law has happened — is entitled to have an education without putting their property rights or liberty rights at risk.

And this is, you know, one they argue whichever, but this is — this is the foundation of it because there's a Federal Constitution for my, you know, Fourteenth Amendment Section I, that no state shall make or enforce any law that shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process law. And this has happened.

We can cite Ohio Constitution 16, redress of injury; due process; the Ohio Constitution of inalienable rights, the necessity of knowledge.

And just like I said, everything that this mask mandate does, it records data, and that is a direct violation. There is a word to the statement and to the definition when they're collecting health care data of children and they are compelling them to comply with the health care system that our Constitution says should never happen no matter what law, local rule, either federal or state, they can't do that.

And right now I'm being asked to show the 1 2 product because no one has attached it. I have offered 3 expert testimony of a man that has over three hundred 4 expert cases in court, and I presented that to the Board 5 and they refused because they have taken the directive 16:16:48 6 from non-subject matter experts and are imposing that and 7 causing harm to my child and all the other children. And if they're not at the position to be 8 sued for their action and pay the medical bills that will 9 come out of this, then they should not be implementing 16:17:05 10 11 anything because it is very careless, and our children 12 should be protected. 13 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 14 Thank you very much. 16:17:14 15 Let's go then to counsel for the 16 defendants, Ms. Chmiel or Mr. Feher, whoever wishes to 17 speak. 18 And just you can respond to any of her 19 arguments, or you can go directly to your own, own point. 16:17:36 20 I do have your brief, I've read it, but if 2.1 there are things you want to highlight, you may do so at 22 this time. 23 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. FEHER: 2.4 Obviously this is a -- this is an issue 16:17:47 25 that sparks a lot of emotion, both here and around the

country.

I think you can appreciate that this is a difficult issue for any School Board to deal with because there is such high emotion, because there are, as is the habit today, many people out on social media talking about what they say is the science and isn't the science.

I think what is fair to say is that the Board here took an action that was, first of all, authorized by Ohio statute. The discretion for local School Boards to make these decisions has been mandated by this legislature.

We know that the School Board here promulgated a specific rule about how to deal with upcoming or future pandemics or rises in infectious cases.

We know that that's what happened here. The materials we've provided you lay out in detail many of the sources, you know, learned, accepted sources — the CDC, the Ohio Department of Health, right down to the Cleveland Clinic — and their recommendations that this mask mandate be used.

Mr. -- or Dr. Barnes' affidavit has laid out the rationale for it with the understanding that there would be some people who are not happy about the mandate. That, nonetheless, the considerations regarding

4

1

2

3

16:18:04 5

7

6

8

16:18:27 10

11

12

13

14

16:18:47 15

16

17

1819

16:19:05 20

2.1

22

23

24

16:19:22 25

continuity of schooling, regarding the importance of 1 2 avoiding forced out-of-school learning and the very 3 negative effects that those have on students, those are 4 all important considerations, and on balance they struck the decision that said they thought a mask mandate was 16:19:46 5 6 appropriate to keep the continuity of education. 7 They are not alone in that. That is what the majority of districts in this area have done. They 8 certainly didn't arrive at it willy-nilly. 16:20:07 10 They certainly considered arguments that 11 were made by the parents, including the plaintiff here. 12 And there is, as far as I've been able to see in any of 13 the papers or in any of the literature, not any serious 14 suggestion that either the plaintiff's daughter or 16:20:25 15 anybody else is at a serious medical risk for having worn 16 a mask to school. 17 Certainly those students that have 18 documented risks or whose doctors say they shouldn't wear 19 a mask are entitled not to wear a mask. The policy has 16:20:44 20 specific exceptions for them. It is tailored to 2.1 accommodate any medical issues and any psychological 22 issues. 23 So it is not any broader than it needs to 2.4 be. It is well-reasoned.

As to the constitutional issues, we just

16:20:59 25

don't -- we've been unable to locate any authority to 1 2 3 4 riaht. 16:21:17 5 6 8 16:21:37 10 11 12 13 Robinson case that she cited. 14 16:22:00 15 16 17 18 19 case here. 16:22:14 20 2.1 22 23 2.4 on with this mask policy.

16:22:30 25

suggest that being required to wear a mask is in any way a deprivation of liberty or any other constitutional And absent an allegation that the policy impinges on a constitutional right, there's obviously no basis for a 1983 claim, and there's certainly no basis for an assertion here that anybody faces irreparable harm by maintaining the status quo of wearing these masks. The case law, I haven't had a chance to review any of the case law that the plaintiffs have cited here, although I have had a chance to look quickly at the That is a case that had to do with the effect of shutting down elective medical procedures, people seeking abortions, which is, as we know, an established constitutional right, at least at this time. So that case really has very different facts than the And I'm, you know, whether it's Marbury or any of the other cases we've discussed, we're not aware of any authority that suggests that there's any constitutional impairment associated with what has gone

So we would, again, refer to the arguments

1 in our brief. 2 And I would also highlight the fact that 3 the standing issue is significant to the defendants here 4 because the flip side of it is that if they prevail on 16:22:50 5 the merits of this case, that decision will have no 6 binding effect because --7 MS. MARAS: I object. I object. That's one of your motions to dismiss. 8 9 I object, Your Honor. 16:23:03 10 THE COURT: Please let him complete what 11 he's doing. 12 I don't think he interrupted you at all. 13 And when we get done, if there's something else you want 14 to say in conclusion, I'll let you do it, but our 16:23:17 15 practice and protocol is not to interrupt. 16 And so he hasn't interrupted you and he has 17 disagreed with a lot of things that you've said, so let's let him finish. 18 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. FEHER: 16:23:29 20 So the issue is significant to us. 2.1 obviously standing must appear from the face of the 22 complaint, and it's relevant to the motion for temporary 23 restraining order because obviously if you don't have 2.4 standing on the face of the complaint, you don't -- you

cannot demonstrate a substantial likelihood of success on

16:23:51 25

1 the merits. 2 THE COURT: Let me -- let me ask you a 3 question about that. 4 I have had cases in the past where plaintiffs thought to not only reference themselves but 16:24:06 5 6 others who are related or who are part, who are involved 7 in the same set of circumstances, and we've always said exactly as you're saying, that they cannot do that, they 8 cannot -- a pro se litigant cannot represent other 16:24:29 10 parties. 11 I understand that. 12 I haven't researched the law on this. So 13 that would mean that she clearly could not represent her 14 daughter, "daughter." 16:24:50 15 Is there a difference? She's got two 16 plaintiffs now. One is the minor, a minor through her 17 parent Terpsehore Maras, and that's her, and then she has 18 another one, herself. 19 Would she be deemed if she was suing for 16:25:10 20 herself, but because the child is a minor would she have 2.1 rights there, or is the law established that she cannot 22 sue in her own name, through or on behalf of her -- on 23 behalf of her child? 2.4 MR. FEHER: Your Honor. 16:25:31 25 THE COURT: In other words -- go ahead.

1	MR. FEHER: If I if I understand the
2	question correctly, the law is that, for several reasons,
3	a plaintiff, an adult parent who might be entitled to
4	proceed pro se on their own claim, may not proceed on
16:25:51 5	behalf
6	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, I object to
7	discussing I object to arguing the motion to dismiss
8	and I'd like a standing objection. I'd like a standing
9	objection.
16:26:01 10	THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
11	And, yeah, I'll give you a chance
12	to your objection is already on the record so that's
13	fine. I'll take note of that.
14	But I'll overrule your objection. I can
16:26:12 15	hear this, and I want him to tell me what he what he's
16	talking about.
17	And I want you to understand why, because I
18	can I could deny I mean, I could grant your motion
19	to dismiss, but I don't have to grant a motion to dismiss
16:26:31 20	on a preliminary injunction.
21	All I have to do is to say you're not
22	likely to succeed on the merits because you would not
23	later be able to pursue these claims on behalf of
24	your on behalf of your child.
16:26:47 25	And that would be part of the motion I'm

sorry -- that would be part of the motion for preliminary 1 2 injunction. But whether I dismiss the case or not, I 3 certainly can consider whether your case is likely to be 4 dismissed when we get on the merits of it. So I want to hear his argument on that. 16:27:03 5 6 MR. FEHER: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 I'm trying to remember where I was. Whether -- an adult may proceed on their 8 own claim for their own claim for damages individually 9 16:27:22 10 without counsel. That's at a choice, a choice an adult 11 can make, but because a child cannot make that decision 12 legally, that the parent may not proceed on their behalf. 13 And, therefore, the case law in the Sixth 14 Circuit and, frankly, around the country has always held, 16:27:45 15 including in the context of 1983 cases, that 16 plaintiffs -- plaintiff parents may not represent the 17 interests of their children pro se. 18 And in this case, the face of the complaint 19 I think makes clear that the claim is brought on behalf 16:28:06 20 of the daughter; not on behalf of Ms. Maras. 2.1 And to the extent that it might be argued 22 to be on behalf of Ms. Maras, the law is also clear that 23 a parent does not have a viable claim under 1983 for 2.4 alleged actions that impact a family member. 16:28:27 25 So either way --

1	MS. MARAS: Your Honor, may I
2	THE COURT: Will you please let him finish?
3	Did he interrupt you once?
4	MS. MARAS: But the issue is a lawyer
16:28:39 5	that I should be a lawyer to be successful? I can cite a
6	case from the Sixth District that says there are
7	exceptions to these rules.
8	THE COURT: Would you please hush? I hate
9	to use those words. You know, I don't usually tell
16:28:53 10	parties
11	MS. MARAS: I just feel like I'm being
12	disadvantaged because the lawyer is getting time to cite
13	cases for you whereas I'm the plaintiff and you're
14	telling, you know it's okay. I apologize, Your Honor.
16:29:05 15	Please go ahead.
16	THE COURT: You should, because I gave you
17	a chance and I asked you about cases and I gave you an
18	opportunity at the end to say anything you wanted to say.
19	So don't don't make
16:29:19 20	MS. MARAS: I object to that because the
21	motion to dismiss and standing shouldn't be discussed
22	right now.
23	THE COURT: Just don't just don't
24	interrupt again. That's all I'm telling you.
16:29:31 25	Don't interrupt again. Don't interrupt

1 again. 2 When I want you to speak, I will let you 3 know when. And I will give you a brief opportunity at 4 the end, but do not interrupt once more, not once. Mr. Feher, go ahead and complete your 16:29:45 5 6 I don't want to hear another thing out of 7 Ms. Maras while you're talking. MR. FEHER: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 I think, as I said, the case law we've 9 16:29:57 10 cited in our brief we think is very definitive on the 11 issue. 12 It does go to directly the likelihood of 13 success element of the request for a TRO, and we think 14 that it is dispositive as well as the other matters that 16:30:13 15 we've pointed out in the brief as to the deficiency of 16 any claim, either under the Constitution or meeting the 17 elements of Rule 65. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank 19 you, counsel. 16:30:27 20 Ms. Maras, I'll give you one minute. 2.1 MS. MARAS: First of all, again, I'd like 22 to state my objection to discussing the motion to dismiss 23 without giving me the time allotted to me by the Court to 2.4 even study that on the merits.

Whether issuance of an injunction would

16:30:45 25

cause substantial harm to others is the question and 1 2 whether public interests would be served. 3 Now, as far as my standing, I'm the mother 4 of the minor and I'm also -- she's -- I'm on her behalf 5 as a plaintiff. And while they argue I don't have a 16:31:00 6 right and the school district argues that I have no standing, respectfully I believe I fit within an extension to the rule cited. 8 In Works versus Commissioner of Social 16:31:27 10 Security, 886 F. Supp. 2d 690, Southern District of Ohio, 11 2012, the Court recognized an exception to the general 12 rule of a pro se plaintiff cannot represent a minor. 13 In this case, the Court concluded that the same policy considerations which allow a parent to file a 14 16:31:48 15 pro se Social Security appeal on behalf of the minor 16 apply also in this case. Ms. Works has presumably borne the costs of his medical treatments documented in the 17 18 record, and that would also apply to me. 19 I have a personal stake in the action 16:32:06 20 because I am a single parent and assume all medical costs 2.1 related to injuries sustained by daughter. 22 I'm also in the process of obtaining legal 23 counsel who is attempting to file pro hac vice so that I 2.4 may have representation during my complaint. 16:32:23 25 So I do have standing because that case

found that I fit that exemption. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Give me the case. 2 3 What is the case again? 4 MS. MARAS: Yes. Works versus Commissioner of Social Security, Southern District of Ohio, 2012. 16:32:36 5 THE COURT: What is the cite? 6 7 MS. MARAS: It says -- yes, the citation is 886 F. Supp. 2d 690, and it says, "In this case the Court 8 concludes that the same policy considerations which allow a parent to file a pro se Social Security appeal on 16:32:54 10 11 behalf of a minor child apply in this case." 12 And this is relating to health, and because 13 her costs that would be rendered from the harm that she's 14 being caused by these statements that they're saying of 16:33:13 15 citations, status quo is not the law. And nothing, no 16 pandemic, nothing suspends the rights of our 17 constitutional liberties. It's unconstitutional. T±. 18 doesn't matter what authority they claim. 19 They took an oath and that's a direct 16:33:29 20 violation of the U.S. Constitution and the Ohio State. 2.1 You can't cancel on civil liberties because of the 22 pandemic. 23 And then making a statement as, oh, there's 2.4 social media, nobody cares about social media, we're 16:33:41 25 talking science. I cited actual science, testing,

studies, experts. And, you know, the superintendent is 1 2 not a medical professional and he's not a scientist. I 3 leave that to scientists. 4 THE COURT: You've got to conclude now, you may conclude. 16:33:57 5 6 Just conclude now. I'll give you just a 7 very brief chance to just conclude your argument. 8 MS. MARAS: The lawyer made compelling arguments that the facts may be different to the case of 9 Alabama which is the one that they only pulled out 16:34:06 10 11 because the others were not mask mandates, but the law 12 remains the same. 13 The legal principles would apply. You can 14 distinguish every case on the facts, but the law is the 16:34:20 15 law. That's the case. 16 And the fact that we have, you know, this 17 motion to dismiss put together and I have a massive law 18 firm against me, and they're telling me that I can't 19 represent my child when it has been well-established that 16:34:31 20 when it comes to health and the costs that I must bear I 21 have every right to represent her because I cannot afford 22 to pay ten, \$15,000 for an attorney. 23 I'm a single parent, and I'm trying to 2.4 protect my child and not cause myself financial harm 16:34:46 25 because of --

THE COURT: All right. 1 MS. MARAS: -- these mandates that are 2 3 blanket statements that don't rely on actual science. 4 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Maras, so that that concludes the argument that I want to hear from the 16:34:55 5 6 parties. 7 Let me say -- say this. Mr. Feher is right and these are emotional issues, and I think for society 8 these are difficult issues. 16:35:19 10 I know that. 11 And so all a Judge can do is hear the 12 parties, gather the facts, and apply the law as the Judge 13 understands the law to be. 14 It is, you know, that's what I have to do, put myself in that context. I can't let emotion, one 16:35:42 15 16 side or the other, one way or the other, I can't let 17 either side, emotion on either side, dictate how I will 18 decide cases. 19 I've never done that in 27 years I've been 16:36:01 20 on the Court, and I'm not going to do it now, but I am 2.1 bound by the law as I understand it. 22 And so what I'm going to do, having 23 received this information from the plaintiff, having 2.4 provided an opportunity for defendants to put on their position, is decide this motion as to whether I will 16:36:19 25

1 grant the extraordinary relief of restraining the Board 2 of Education, Mayfield City School District Board of 3 Education from enforcing their mask requirement or 4 mandate, or whether I will deny that motion, in which case they can continue to enforce it until -- until the 16:36:46 5 6 case is resolved or until a preliminary injunction would be issued in the plaintiff's favor, if there were to be such an order. 8 Ms. Maras, the -- if a TRO is denied, then 16:37:14 10 the Court is required to set the case for another 11 preliminary proceeding, and that's a preliminary 12 injunction proceeding. 13 At that point, the parties will have done 14 perhaps some discovery, gather more information, and then the hearing would likely be held in person with witnesses 16:37:37 15 16 being called, although the pandemic could affect that. 17 But that's, that would be the second stage 18 in terms of an injunction if the TRO is denied. 19 If the preliminary injunction is 16:37:57 20 denied -- of course, if it was granted, then it would 2.1 preclude them from acting until the case is over. 22 If that's denied, the preliminary 23 injunction, assuming your case survived and didn't go out 2.4 on a motion to dismiss, then you would ask for the 16:38:11 25 injunctive relief still, but at the end of your case as

1 relief in your case. 2 That's the third stage. 3 And so that, that would be the process. 4 Now, the defendants are allowed to file a motion to dismiss. This is an early stage, and I would 16:38:26 5 6 give you an opportunity to respond to a motion to dismiss. 7 Now, let me say this: That the Court, of 8 course, on its own motion can dismiss a case for lack of 9 16:38:46 10 subject matter jurisdiction, but this, this may not 11 pertain to subject matter jurisdiction, but whatever, I 12 would not dismiss it until you had a chance to file an opposition to the motion to dismiss. 13 14 But I tried to explain to you that I still 16:39:08 15 can consider whether or not you will be precluded based 16 on standing because while even if I didn't dismiss your 17 case, it's something I can consider in regard to one of 18 the elements I have to determine in respect to the motion 19 for temporary restraining order. 16:39:26 20 One of the things I have to determine is 2.1 whether you're likely to succeed on the merits of the 22 If you're not a proper party, then of course

you're not going to succeed on the merits of your case.

And so I can take a peek at that issue without deciding

it finally, even if, even if I decided, you know, to

23

2.4

16:39:49 25

1 allow you to file something later on the motion to 2 dismiss. 3 Now, that may be difficult to understand, 4 and I understand why. It is difficult for laypersons to 16:40:10 5 file a lawsuit, to do research, and to pursue cases in 6 the Court. You've already articulated this. There are lawyers on the other side who are trained in the law and how to present evidence and how to make arguments, and 8 you don't have that training. 16:40:27 10 And so it's a much better case for a Judge 11 to have lawyers on both sides who can sharpen the issues 12 and can respond to my questions so that I'm in a position 13 to resolve a case. 14 But you do have a right on your own part to 16:40:46 15 bring a case. I've got to decide whether you have a 16 right to bring it in this particular instance, but if you 17 have that right, then you can represent yourself. You're 18 not allowed to represent anyone else, as a general 19 matter. 16:40:59 20 So I'm going to have to decide all these 2.1 things, but I'm not going to -- initially all I'm going 22 to do is decide whether you should get a TRO because 23 that's really what's in front of me right now. 2.4 And I have to go through the factors.

We've talked about some of them: Whether you are likely

16:41:15 25

1	to succeed on the merits; whether your child would
2	suffer or you would suffer irreparable harm; whether
3	it's in the public interests to grant one; and so on and
4	so forth, those factors that you've seen, that counsel
16:41:35 5	have addressed.
6	And that's what I've got to do. And then
7	when I do that, it's not going to be a long order. When
8	I do that, then I'll grant or deny the TRO.
9	So that's that's where we're going, is
16:41:51 10	that next step.
11	All right. That's all I have, Ms. Maras.
12	Anything else before I ask opposing counsel
13	whether they have anything else? And then we'll conclude
14	right now.
16:42:00 15	MS. MARAS: Yes, Your Honor.
16	I will be e-mailing you the information
17	that demonstrates that I do have standing.
18	Also, you know, I find it troublesome
19	that you know, the Court is for the people, not for
16:42:14 20	lawyers. And I find it troublesome that, you know, it's
21	being seen as if the people are not allowed in people's
22	Court without a lawyer.
23	I have done extensive work protecting not
24	only my child's interests but my own, and I would like,
16:42:30 25	if possible, when you actually see my documents to

provide me access for the electronic filing as well. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. MARAS: Above all, the Constitution 4 prevails and that is what's important. Any violation of 16:42:44 5 the Constitution causes irreparable harm regardless. 6 THE COURT: All right. That's all. 7 So we'll deal with, you know, whether you should have filing status later. I told you this was a 8 situation where I could receive papers and informally 16:43:02 10 because of the nature of the circumstances. You provided 11 papers to me, and the ones we have we'll consider. But you can't -- you can't just keep 12 13 mailing papers that you want me to consider for a motion 14 for temporary restraining order because the whole notion 16:43:20 15 is it should be quick, and the parties have put it in front of me. 16 17 You can't just keep filing parties. 18 MS. MARAS: T --19 THE COURT: So --16:43:31 20 MS. MARAS: I agree. I'm referring to the motion to dismiss and 2.1 22 the standing because I wasn't aware that we were going to 23 be hearing that case that has been put together. 2.4 I had requested the Court to bifurcate the 16:43:40 25 two, but since you're considering it and taking a peek, I

1	would like to at least defend myself since I haven't had
2	the appropriate time by the Court to answer that.
3	THE COURT: You did. You gave me a case
4	that you told me you thought countered what they were
16:43:55 5	saying, so you obviously did the research and you gave me
6	a case, so I'll look at your case.
7	Mr. Feher, anything further before we
8	conclude?
9	MR. FEHER: No, Your Honor.
16:44:03 10	THE COURT: All right.
11	Okay. Thank you, all. Appreciate your
12	time.
13	MR. FEHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	Have a good day.
16:44:10 15	MS. MARAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	MS. CHMIEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	(Proceedings concluded at 4:44 p.m.)
18	CERTIFICATE
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
20	above-entitled matter.
21	
22	/s/Susan Trischan /S/ Susan Trischan, Official Court Reporter
23	Certified Realtime Reporter
24	7-189 U.S. Court House 801 West Superior Avenue
25	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 357-7087